arXiv:1206.5665v22 [physics.gen-ph] 5 Dec 2012

The arrangement field theory
(Revisited)

Diego Marin[*]

March 8, 2013

Abstract

We revisit the original formulation of Arrangement Field Theory. In the new approach, all degrees of freedom, both bosonic and fermionic, fit a unique 6×6 matrix whose entries take value in the Lie algebra $sl(2, \mathbf{O})$. There is no place here for new fermionic fields except gravitino. Conversely, as new bosonic fields there appear $SU(6)$ gauge fields, graviton and spin-connections. The resulting theory is invariant under superspace diffeomorphisms. These include local supersymmetry and then supergravity. Finally, the theory seems to be renormalizable.

---

[*] dmarin.math@gmail.com

Contents

1 Introduction 3

2 Preliminaries 3

3 Arrangement Matrix 7

4 Discrete derivative 9

5 Action 11

6 $SU(6)$ gauge fields 13

7 String duality 15

8 Conclusion 17

1 Introduction

In what follows we revisit the original formulation of Arrangement Field Theory. In the new approach, all degrees of freedom, both bosonic and fermionic, fit a unique 6×6 matrix whose entries take value in the Lie algebra $sl(2, \mathbf{O}) \sim so(1, 9)$.

This choice follows from our need to have entries which automatically implement Lorentz symmetry. Moreover (see section 5) the use of octonions permits to have exactly three fermionic families which transform under gauge symmetry in the skew symmetric representation, the one required by $SU(6)$ Grand Unified Theory.

Our starting space is $\mathbf{R}^{10}$ with Lorentzian signature and symmetry $SL(2, \mathbf{O})$ $\sim SO(1, 9)$. Via compactification we move to $\mathbf{R}^4$ with Lorentzian signature and symmetry $SL(2, \mathbf{C}) \sim SO(1, 3)$.

There is no place here for new fermionic fields except gravitino. Conversely, as new bosonic fields there appear $SU(6)$ gauge fields, graviton and spin-connections. The resulting theory is invariant under generalized diffeomorphisms in a twelve dimensional superspace. These include local supersymmetry or rather supergravity. Finally, the theory seems to be renormalizable and so it motivates a deep investigation.

Here we concentrate just on issues that differ from the first formulation [1], omitting more sensitive issues as duality with **String Theories** (except for a brief excursus in the end).

2 Preliminaries

In this section we collect all physical fields inside a unique field with values in $sl(2, \mathbf{O})$, which is the algebra of octonionic null trace matrices and their commutators. Let's consider the space Γ of hermitian 2×2 matrices with entries in the ensemble of octonions $\mathbf{O}$. This space has dimension 10 and a useful base is given by the following matrices $\sigma^{M=0,1,\ldots,9}$:

$$\sigma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i_1 \\ i_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i_2 \\ i_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i_3 \\ i_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma^6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i_1 I \\ i_1 I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma^7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i_2 I \\ i_2 I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma^8 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i_3 I \\ i_3 I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma^9 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{matrix} i_n i_m = -\delta_{mn} + \varepsilon^{mnq} i_q \\ I i_n = -i_n I \end{matrix} \qquad \begin{matrix} i_n(i_{m\neq n} I) = -(i_n i_m) I \\ i_n(i_n I) = i_n^2 I = -I \end{matrix}$$

Such matrices have very good properties:

[1] For every vector field A_M in $\mathbf{R}^{10}$ which transforms under $\Lambda_M{}^N \in SO(1,9)$ as $A_M \to A'_M = \Lambda_M{}^N A_N$, there exists a field $A = A_M \sigma^M$ in Γ and a transformation $U(\Lambda) \in SL(2,\mathbf{O})$ such that $A \to A' = U(\Lambda) A U(\Lambda)^\dagger = A'_M \sigma^M$. This relation points out an homomorphism between $SL(2,\mathbf{O})$ and $SO(1,9)$, with $\Gamma \approx \mathbf{R}^{10}$. The map from $SL(2,\mathbf{O})$ to $SO(1,9)$ has kernel ± 1 and then it is two to one;

[2] For every vector field A_M in $\mathbf{R}^{10}$ which transforms under $\Lambda_M{}^N \in SO(1,9)$ as $A_M \to A'_M = \Lambda_M{}^N A_N$, there exists a field $\hat{A} = A_M \bar{\sigma}^M$ in Γ (with $\bar{\sigma}^{M;\dot{\alpha}\beta} = \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\delta}}\,\sigma^M_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}$) and a transformation $U(\Lambda) \in SL(2,\mathbf{O})$ such that $\hat{A} \to \hat{A}' = U^{\dagger -1}(\Lambda)\hat{A}$ $U(\Lambda)^{-1} = A'_M \bar{\sigma}^M$;

[3] Given a real tensor field $W_{MNP\cdots QRS}$ which transforms under $\Lambda_M{}^N \in SO(1,9)$ in $W'_{MNP\cdots QRS} = \Lambda_M{}^{M'}\Lambda_N{}^{N'}\Lambda_P{}^{P'}\ldots\Lambda_Q{}^{Q'}\Lambda_R{}^{R'}\Lambda_S{}^{S'} W_{M'N'P'\cdots Q'R'S'}$, there exists a field

$W = W_{MNP\ldots QRS}\sigma^M \otimes \bar{\sigma}^N \otimes \sigma^P \otimes \ldots \otimes \sigma^Q \otimes \bar{\sigma}^R \otimes \sigma^S$ and a transformation $U \in SL(2,O)$ such that $W' = W_{MNP\cdots QRS}(U\sigma^M U^\dagger)\otimes(U^{\dagger-1})\bar{\sigma}^N(U^{-1})\otimes(U\sigma^P U^\dagger)\otimes\ldots\otimes(U\sigma^Q U^\dagger)\otimes(U^{\dagger-1}\bar{\sigma}^R U^{-1})\otimes(U\sigma^S U^\dagger) = W'_{MNP\ldots QRS}\sigma^M\otimes\bar{\sigma}^N\otimes\sigma^P\otimes\ldots\otimes\sigma^Q\otimes\bar{\sigma}^R\otimes\sigma^S$;

[**4**] Given an anti-symmetric real tensor field W_{MN} which transforms under $\Lambda_M{}^N \in SO(1,9)$ in $W'_{MN} = \Lambda_M{}^{M'}\Lambda_N{}^{N'}W_{M'N'}$, there exists a field operator $W = W_{MN}\sigma^M\bar{\sigma}^N$ which acts on T as a map $T \xrightarrow{W} \frac{1}{4}W_{MN}[\sigma^M,[\bar{\sigma}^N,T]]$ and a transformation $U \in SL(2,\mathbf{O})$ such that $W' = W_{MN}(U\sigma^M U^\dagger)(U^{\dagger-1}\bar{\sigma}^N U^{-1}) = W_{MN}(U\sigma^M)(U^\dagger U^{\dagger-1})(\bar{\sigma}^N U^{-1}) = W_{MN}(U\sigma^M\bar{\sigma}^N U^{-1}) = W'_{MN}\sigma^M\bar{\sigma}^N$. It's straightforward that $W \in sl(2,\mathbf{O})$ and $sl(2,\mathbf{O}) \approx \mathbf{R}^{10} \overset{A}{\otimes} \mathbf{R}^{10}$.

Under compactification of $\mathbf{R}^{10}$ in $\mathbf{R}^4$, W_{MN} gives rise to the following fields:

- Real Spin Connections $W_{\mu\nu}$;
- Six Real Vector Fields $A_{(M)\mu} = W_{\mu M}$, with $M = 3,4,5,6,7,8$;
- Fifteen Real Scalar Fields $\phi_{(MN)}$.

Proceed by extending $W_{\alpha\beta}$ to fermionic fields

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\alpha\beta} &= W_{MN}(\sigma^M\bar{\sigma}^N)_{\alpha\beta} + \sigma^M_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\gamma}}_M\theta_\beta \qquad (1)\\ \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} &= W_{MN}(\bar{\sigma}^M\sigma^N)^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + \psi_{M\gamma}\sigma^{M\gamma\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} \qquad (2)\end{aligned}$$

with $\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\gamma}}_M$, $\psi_{M\gamma} \in \mathbf{R}$. In addition to the abovementioned fields, with respect to transformations in $SO(1,3) \sim SL(2,\mathbf{C})$, we have

- Two real Gravitinos ψ_μ and χ_μ ;
- Two Weyl spinors in three families so composed

$$\sum_{M=3,4,5,6,7,8} \psi_M\sigma^M = \sum_{n=1}^{3} i_n\left(\bar{\psi}^{M=2+n} + I\bar{\psi}^{M=5+n}\right) \qquad (3)$$

$$\sum_{M=3,4,5,6,7,8} \sigma^M \bar{\chi}_M = \sum_{n=1}^{3} i_n \left(\chi^{2+n} + I\chi^{5+n}\right) \tag{4}$$

with definitions

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
\psi_\alpha^M = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^M & \psi_2^M \end{pmatrix}_\alpha & ; & \bar{\psi}^{M;\dot\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2^M \\ -\psi_1^M \end{pmatrix}^{\dot\alpha} \\
\bar{\chi}^{M;\dot\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_2^M \\ -\chi_1^M \end{pmatrix}^{\dot\alpha} & ; & \chi_\alpha^M = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1^M & \chi_2^M \end{pmatrix}_\alpha
\end{array}$$

From now we use ψ^n by meaning $\psi^{2+n} + I\psi^{5+n}$ and χ^n by meaning $\chi^{2+n} + I\chi^{5+n}$. In this way

$$\psi_M \sigma^M = \sum_{n=1}^{3} i_n \bar{\psi}^n \qquad\qquad \sigma^M \bar{\chi}_M = \sum_{n=1}^{3} i_n \chi^n$$

Let's define derivatives D and $\bar{D}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\alpha\beta} &= -\sigma^M_{\alpha\dot\gamma}\bar\theta^{\dot\gamma}\partial_\beta\partial_M - I\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta \\
&\quad Q_\alpha = D_{\alpha\beta}\theta^\beta = -I\partial_\alpha - \sigma^M_{\alpha\dot\gamma}\bar\theta^{\dot\gamma}\partial_M \\
\bar{D}^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} &= \bar\sigma^{M;\dot\alpha\gamma}\theta_\gamma\bar\partial^{\dot\beta}\partial_M + I\bar\partial^{\dot\alpha}\bar\partial^{\dot\beta} \\
&\quad \bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha} = \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}{}^{\dot\beta}\bar\theta_{\dot\beta} = I\bar\partial_{\dot\alpha} + \theta^\gamma\sigma^M_{\gamma\dot\alpha}\partial_M
\end{aligned}$$

Q and $\bar{Q}$ are the usual SUSY generators with $\{Q, \bar{Q}\} = 2\sigma^\mu P_\mu$. We can define covariant derivatives $\nabla_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha\beta} + W_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\overline{\nabla}^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} = \bar{D}^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta} + \overline{W}^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}$ which transform in the natural way:

$$\left(W_{\alpha\beta} + D_{\alpha\beta}\right)\psi^\beta$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\left(W'_{\alpha\delta}\left(e^{-\omega\dagger}\right)^\delta{}_\varepsilon + \left(e^\omega\right)_\alpha{}^\tau\left(e^{\omega\dagger}\right)^\gamma{}_\varepsilon\left(De^{-\omega\dagger}\right)_{\tau\gamma} + \left(e^\omega\right)_\alpha{}^\tau\left(e^{\omega\dagger}\right)^\gamma{}_\delta\left(e^{-\omega\dagger}\right)^\delta{}_\varepsilon D_{\tau\gamma}\right)\psi^\varepsilon$$

$$\|$$

$$\Big(\left(e^{\omega}\right)_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} W_{\gamma\tau} \left(e^{\omega\dagger}\right)^{\tau}{}_{\delta} \left(e^{-\omega\dagger}\right)^{\delta}{}_{\varepsilon} - \left(e^{\omega}\right)_{\alpha}{}^{\tau} \left(e^{\omega\dagger}\right)^{\gamma}{}_{\varepsilon} \left(De^{-\omega\dagger}\right)_{\tau\gamma} +$$

$$+ \left(e^{\omega}\right)_{\alpha}{}^{\tau} \left(e^{\omega\dagger}\right)^{\gamma}{}_{\varepsilon} \left(De^{-\omega\dagger}\right)_{\tau\gamma} + \left(e^{\omega}\right)_{\alpha}{}^{\tau} \left(e^{\omega\dagger}\right)^{\gamma}{}_{\delta} \left(e^{-\omega\dagger}\right)^{\delta}{}_{\varepsilon} D_{\tau\gamma} \Big) \psi^{\varepsilon}$$

$$\|$$

$$\left[\left(W_{\alpha\beta} + D_{\alpha\beta}\right)\psi^{\beta}\right]' = \left(e^{\omega}\right)_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} \left(W_{\gamma\beta} + D_{\gamma\beta}\right)\psi^{\beta}$$

where we have used

$$W'_{\alpha\delta} = \left(e^{\omega}\right)_{\alpha}{}^{\gamma} W_{\gamma\tau} \left(e^{\omega\dagger}\right)^{\tau}{}_{\delta} - \left(e^{\omega}\right)_{\alpha}{}^{\tau} \left(e^{2\omega\dagger}\right)^{\gamma}{}_{\delta} \left(De^{-\omega\dagger}\right)_{\tau\gamma}$$

We see that W transforms as a gauge field for $SL(2, \mathbf{O})$ and then it is a spin-connection. Define $\nabla_{\alpha} = \nabla_{\alpha\beta}\theta^{\beta}$ and $\overline{\nabla}_{\dot\alpha} = \overline{\nabla}^{\dot\alpha\beta}\bar\theta_{\dot\beta}$. Explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\alpha} &= W_{MN}(\sigma^{M}\bar\sigma^{N})_{\alpha\beta}\theta^{\beta} + \sigma^{M}_{\alpha\dot\gamma}\bar\chi^{\dot\gamma}_{M}\theta^{2} + Q_{\alpha} \\ \overline{\nabla}^{\dot\alpha} &= W_{MN}(\bar\sigma^{M}\sigma^{N})^{\dot\alpha\dot\beta}\bar\theta_{\dot\beta} + \psi_{M\gamma}\sigma^{M\gamma\dot\alpha}\bar\theta^{2} + \bar{Q}^{\dot\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

The most general non-trivial covariant action is

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int d^{2}\theta d^{2}\bar\theta d^{4}x \quad & a\left\{\nabla_{\alpha}, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\gamma}\right\}\left\{\nabla^{\alpha}, \overline{\nabla}^{\dot\gamma}\right\} + b\left(\nabla_{\alpha}\,\sigma^{0\alpha\dot\beta}\,\overline{\nabla}^{\dagger}_{\dot\beta} + \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\beta}\,\sigma^{0\alpha\dot\beta}\,\nabla^{\dagger}_{\alpha}\right) + \\ + \quad & c\left\{\nabla_{\alpha}, \nabla_{\gamma}\right\}\left\{\nabla^{\alpha}, \nabla^{\gamma}\right\} + d\left\{\overline{\nabla}_{\dot\alpha}, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\gamma}\right\}\left\{\overline{\nabla}^{\dot\alpha}, \overline{\nabla}^{\dot\gamma}\right\} + \\ + \quad & e\,\overline{\nabla}^{\dot\sigma}\left\{\overline{\nabla}_{\dot\sigma}, \nabla_{\alpha}\right\}\sigma^{0\alpha\dot\gamma}\,\overline{\nabla}^{\dagger}_{\dot\gamma} + e\,\overline{\nabla}^{\dagger\dot\sigma}\left\{\overline{\nabla}_{\dot\sigma}, \nabla_{\alpha}\right\}\sigma^{0\alpha\dot\gamma}\,\overline{\nabla}_{\dot\gamma} + \\ + \quad & f\,\nabla^{\alpha}\left\{\nabla_{\alpha}, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\sigma}\right\}\sigma^{0\beta\dot\sigma}\,\nabla^{\dagger}_{\beta} + f\,\nabla^{\dagger\alpha}\left\{\nabla_{\alpha}, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\sigma}\right\}\sigma^{0\beta\dot\sigma}\,\nabla_{\beta} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

with $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbf{R}$.

3 Arrangement Matrix

In this section we introduce the cornerstone of Arrangement Field Theory, ie the "Arrangement Matrix". We start from observation that every euclidean space can be approximated by a graph Λ, ie a collection of vertices connected by edges of coordinate length Δ. Continuous space can be recovered as the limit $\Delta \to 0$. However we have seen in [1] that Δ is a universal constant $\neq 0$, strictly connected to Black Hole entropy. More precisely, it results $\Delta^2 = 8G$, with G gravitational constant.

We assume the vertices as fundamental quantities. Then we can select what couples of vertices are connected by edges; different choices of couple generated different graphs, which in the limit $\Delta \to 0$ correspond to different spaces. Our fundamental assumption is that **the existence of an edge follows a probabilistic law**, like any other quantity in QM.

Before proceeding, we extend the common definition of amplitude probability. Usually this is a complex number, whose square module represents a probability and so it is minor or equal to one. We define instead the amplitude probability as a 2×2 matrix in $sl(2, \mathbf{O})$. The square module of its determinant represents yet a probability and so it is restricted to be minor or equal to one.

In this framework we describe every space by means of vertices connected by edges, with a 2×2 matrix next to each edge. What we are building is another variation of the Penrose's spin-network model [22] or the Spin-Foam models [23], [24] in Loop Quantum Gravity [25].

The fundamental object of our theory is an hermitian matrix ∇ with dimensions $N \times N$ and entries in $sl(2, \mathbf{O})$. The component ∇^{ij} represents the probability amplitude for the vertex i to be connected to the vertex j. In "second quantization" it becomes an operator which creates or annihilates connections between i and j. We call ∇ "**Arrangement Matrix**".

For every Arrangement Matrix there exists a "classical" Arrangement Matrix where every entry is approximated to 0 or ±1 (consecutive and non consecutive vertices). The classical matrix determines the medium (classical) structure for the graph Λ. In this way, every Arrangement Matrix ∇ is a sum of states $|connected\rangle$ and $|non - connected\rangle$.

In our theory we admit local fields $\phi(x_i)$:

$$\varphi(x_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(x_1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(x_2) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(x_3) & 0 & 0 & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(x_4) & 0 & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(x_5) & \vdots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & \varphi(x_N) \end{pmatrix}$$

and non-local fields $\phi(x_i, x_j)$:

$$\varphi(x_i, x_j) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(x_1, x_1) & \varphi(x_1, x_2) & \varphi(x_1, x_3) & \varphi(x_1, x_4) & \varphi(x_1, x_5) & \vdots & \varphi(x_1, x_N) \\ \varphi(x_2, x_1) & \varphi(x_2, x_2) & \varphi(x_2, x_3) & \varphi(x_2, x_4) & \varphi(x_2, x_5) & \vdots & \varphi(x_2, x_N) \\ \varphi(x_3, x_1) & \varphi(x_3, x_2) & \varphi(x_3, x_3) & \varphi(x_3, x_4) & \varphi(x_3, x_5) & \vdots & \varphi(x_3, x_N) \\ \varphi(x_4, x_1) & \varphi(x_4, x_2) & \varphi(x_4, x_3) & \varphi(x_4, x_4) & \varphi(x_4, x_5) & \vdots & \varphi(x_4, x_N) \\ \varphi(x_5, x_1) & \varphi(x_5, x_2) & \varphi(x_5, x_3) & \varphi(x_5, x_4) & \varphi(x_5, x_5) & \vdots & \varphi(x_5, x_N) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \ddots & \dots \\ \varphi(x_N, x_1) & \varphi(x_N, x_2) & \varphi(x_N, x_3) & \varphi(x_N, x_4) & \varphi(x_N, x_5) & \vdots & \varphi(x_N, x_N) \end{pmatrix}$$

4 Discrete derivative

Let's consider a uni-dimensional circular graph with N vertices $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_N\}$. Discrete derivative is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\varphi(x_i) &= \frac{\varphi(x_{i+1}) - \varphi(x_{i-1})}{\Delta} \\ \partial\varphi(x_1) &= \frac{\varphi(\,x_2\,) - \varphi(\,x_N\,)}{\Delta} \\ \partial\varphi(x_N) &= \frac{\varphi(\,x_1\,) - \varphi(x_{N-1})}{\Delta} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

For example, in the case $N = 7$:

$$\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & +1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & +1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & +1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & +1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & +1 \\ +1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(\partial\varphi)(x) = \frac{[\tilde{M}, \varphi(x)]}{\Delta} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(x_2) - \varphi(x_7) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(x_3) - \varphi(x_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi(x_4) - \varphi(x_2) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_6) \end{pmatrix}$$

We see that $\tilde{M}$ is a "classical" arrangement matrix which describes connections between vertices i and $i \pm 1$ along classical geodetics.

Consider now a d-dimensional graph Λ with N vertices. Start by plunging the graph in a differentiable manifold Υ. We have d independent ways to intercept all vertices of Λ by means of a geodetic congruence in Υ. It's then obvious to define coordinates in Λ as counters which increase point by point along eight geodetic congruences.

For every coordinate (and congruence) P, there is only a finite number p of geodetics which intercept $n_i > 0$ points ($\sum_{i=1}^{p} n_i = N$). We can choose a numbering of points under which the derivative operator along P ($\tilde{M}_P$) assumes a block diagonal form. More precisely it will accommodate p blocks with a block for every useful geodetic in the congruence. Every block will be equal to $\tilde{M}$ with dimensions $n_i \times n_i$.

Under the same numbering, the form of $\tilde{M}_Q$ for other coordinates $Q \neq P$ is not block diagonal. In spite of this, for every line i there exists a $j \neq i$ for which $\tilde{M}_Q^{ij} = 1$ and a $j \neq i$ for which $\tilde{M}_Q^{ij} = -1$. This happens when the vertex x_j follows or precedes the vertex x_i along any geodetic in the congruence Q. All other entries are zero.

5 Action

Re-take the covariant action

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} d^4x \quad & a\left\{\nabla_\alpha, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\gamma}\right\}\left\{\nabla^\alpha, \overline{\nabla}^{\dot\gamma}\right\} + b\left(\nabla_\alpha\, \sigma^{0\alpha\dot\beta}\, \overline{\nabla}^\dagger_{\dot\beta} + \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\beta}\, \sigma^{0\alpha\dot\beta}\, \nabla^\dagger_\alpha\right) + \\ + \quad & c\left\{\nabla_\alpha, \nabla_\gamma\right\}\left\{\nabla^\alpha, \nabla^\gamma\right\} + d\left\{\overline{\nabla}_{\dot\alpha}, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\gamma}\right\}\left\{\overline{\nabla}^{\dot\alpha}, \overline{\nabla}^{\dot\gamma}\right\} + \\ + \quad & e\, \overline{\nabla}^{\dot\sigma}\left\{\overline{\nabla}_{\dot\sigma}, \nabla_\alpha\right\}\sigma^{0\alpha\dot\gamma}\, \overline{\nabla}^\dagger_{\dot\gamma} + e\, \overline{\nabla}^{\dagger\dot\sigma}\left\{\overline{\nabla}_{\dot\sigma}, \nabla_\alpha\right\}\sigma^{0\alpha\dot\gamma}\, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\gamma} + \\ + \quad & f\, \nabla^\alpha\left\{\nabla_\alpha, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\sigma}\right\}\sigma^{0\beta\dot\sigma}\, \nabla^\dagger_\beta + f\, \nabla^{\dagger\alpha}\left\{\nabla_\alpha, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\sigma}\right\}\sigma^{0\beta\dot\sigma}\, \nabla_\beta \end{aligned}$$

In the graph it is simply

$$\begin{aligned} S = \mathbf{Tr} \quad & a\left\{\nabla_\alpha, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\gamma}\right\}\left\{\nabla^\alpha, \overline{\nabla}^{\dot\gamma}\right\} + b\left(\nabla_\alpha\, \sigma^{0\alpha\dot\beta}\, \overline{\nabla}^\dagger_{\dot\beta} + \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\beta}\, \sigma^{0\alpha\dot\beta}\, \nabla^\dagger_\alpha\right) + \\ + \quad & c\left\{\nabla_\alpha, \nabla_\gamma\right\}\left\{\nabla^\alpha, \nabla^\gamma\right\} + d\left\{\overline{\nabla}_{\dot\alpha}, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\gamma}\right\}\left\{\overline{\nabla}^{\dot\alpha}, \overline{\nabla}^{\dot\gamma}\right\} + \\ + \quad & e\, \overline{\nabla}^{\dot\sigma}\left\{\overline{\nabla}_{\dot\sigma}, \nabla_\alpha\right\}\sigma^{0\alpha\dot\gamma}\, \overline{\nabla}^\dagger_{\dot\gamma} + e\, \overline{\nabla}^{\dagger\dot\sigma}\left\{\overline{\nabla}_{\dot\sigma}, \nabla_\alpha\right\}\sigma^{0\alpha\dot\gamma}\, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\gamma} + \\ + \quad & f\, \nabla^\alpha\left\{\nabla_\alpha, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\sigma}\right\}\sigma^{0\beta\dot\sigma}\, \nabla^\dagger_\beta + f\, \nabla^{\dagger\alpha}\left\{\nabla_\alpha, \overline{\nabla}_{\dot\sigma}\right\}\sigma^{0\beta\dot\sigma}\, \nabla_\beta \end{aligned}$$

with **Tr** which acts on vertices in Λ. Action is also invariant under unitary transformations $U \in U(N)$ which act on the vertices (in some sense, exchanging the vertices each other.). The covariant derivative changes accordingly to

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\alpha\beta} \to U\nabla_{\alpha\beta}U^\dagger &= UD_{\alpha\beta}U^\dagger + UW_{\alpha\beta}U^\dagger \\ &= D_{\alpha\beta}UU^\dagger - [D_{\alpha\beta}, U]U^\dagger + UW_{\alpha\beta}U^\dagger \\ &= D_{\alpha\beta} + W'_{\alpha\beta} \qquad (7)\end{aligned}$$

with $W'_{\alpha\beta} = UW_{\alpha\beta}U^\dagger - [D_{\alpha\beta}, U]U^\dagger$. This implies $A'_\mu = UA_\mu U^\dagger - I[\partial_\mu, U]U^\dagger$ and $\psi'_\alpha\theta_\beta = U\psi_\alpha U^\dagger\theta_\beta - I[\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta, U]U^\dagger$.

Given generalized coordinates $Z = (x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$, the group of local translations sends every field $\varphi(Z)$ in $\varphi(Z + \lambda(Z)) = \varphi(Z'(Z))$, where $Z'(Z) = Z + \lambda(Z)$ is some real diffeomorphism from Υ to itself. Moving from Υ to Λ, $Z'(Z)$ acts as a permutation inside the ensemble

$$\varphi = \{\varphi(Z_1), \varphi(Z_2), \varphi(Z_3), \ldots, \varphi(Z_N)\}$$

A such permutation doesn't change the norm of φ, ie $||\varphi||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi(Z_i)^*\varphi(Z_i)$, and hence local translations belong to unitary transformations $U(N)$. It is well known that, **for scalar fields, invariance under diffeomorphisms is equivalent to invariance under local translations**. Conversely, for field with indices, this equivalence lacks of a local Lorentz transformation. Fortunately in our approach the Lorentz transformations are implemented "by definition" and so **diffeomorphisms invariance is guaranteed**.

Note that transformations in $U(N)$ don't preserve locality. However they move vertices of Λ inside Υ without changing the abstract graph implied in Λ. This means that the only physical objects are combinatorial relationships in Λ (ie what connections exist and what not), while their positions in Υ depend only on the chosen coordinate system.

We conjecture that, for any matrix ∇ with dimension $N \times N$ and entries in $sl(2, \mathbf{O})$, there exists a unitary transformation in $U(N)$ which makes the fields $W = \nabla - D$ diagonal (ie local). Moreover we interpret ∇ as an arrangement matrix.

We can work with fields in the diagonal gauge by means of usual Feynman diagrams. The price to pay is non-local ghost fields. There is something ironic in a "ghost" field which disappears here and appears far away!

6 $SU(6)$ gauge fields

Image now that vertices in Λ are superimposed in groups of six. We label this groups with $Z^a = \{Z_1^a, Z_2^a, Z_3^a, Z_4^a, Z_5^a, Z_6^a\}$, where a run from 1 to $N/6$. Hence

$$\varphi(Z_i, Z_j), \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, N \Longrightarrow$$
$$\Longrightarrow \varphi(Z_i^a, Z_j^a) = \varphi^{ij}(Z^a), \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, 6 \quad a = 1, \ldots N/6$$

When vertices are superimposed, diagonal gauge is not uniquely defined: there remains a residual gauge symmetry for transformations which act inside the ensembles Z^a. Such transformations have form $exp\,(H)$, where H is a 6×6 matrix with entries in $sl(2, \mathbf{O})$. More precisely, $H = \omega \otimes u$ with $\omega \in sl(2, \mathbf{O})$ and $u \in u(6) = u(1) \oplus su(6)$ (this last is built with I as imaginary unit). Note that $tr\, H \in sl(2, \mathbf{O})$ and then

$$det\,(exp\,(H)) = exp\,(tr\,(H)) \in SL(2, \mathbf{O})$$

If we interpret the ensembles Z^a as "physical" points, then the residual symmetry is local $SU(6) \otimes SL(2, \mathbf{O})$.

We have seen in [1] and [2] that only the trace component contributes to the Ricci Scalar $Tr\,\kappa[\nabla, \overline{\nabla}]$; these ∇^{ii} are gauge fields for the Lorentz group $SL(2, \mathbf{O}) \sim SO(1, 9)$ and then we have concluded that General Relativity is unaltered in the vacuum at classical level.

Diagonal components of ∇ permit a wonderful understanding of spin. Remember that $\tilde{M}$ is a "classical" arrangement matrix which describes connections between vertices i and $i \pm 1$ along classical geodetics. Together, such matrix gives momenta along geodetics by means of equivalence $P = -I\tilde{M}$. Similarly, $det\,\nabla^{ii}$ represents the probability amplitude for the vertex i to be next to itself along a geodetic which draws a loop knotted to the point i. At the same time ∇^{ii} contains the $SU(2)$ generators which are spin operators. By analogy **we interpret spin as a momentum along pointwise loops** (connections from i to i). This point of view becomes more clear by exploiting duality between AFT and String Theory[1].

Look now to the gauge symmetries. Under $SU(6)$ the field W_P transforms in the adjoint representation:

$$W^{ij}_{MN} \to U^{\dagger ik} W^{kl}_{MN} U^{lj} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} A^{ij}_{\mu} \to U^{\dagger ik} A^{kl}_{\mu} U^{lj} \\ i_n \psi^{ij}_{n\alpha} \to U^{\dagger ik} i_n \psi^{kl}_{n\alpha} U^{lj} \end{cases}$$

Using $I i_n = -i_n I$ we obtain $U^{\dagger} i_n = i_n U^T$ and then

$$i_n \psi^{ij}_{n\alpha} \to i_n U^{Tik} \psi^{kl}_{n\alpha} U^{lj}$$

Finally

$$\psi^{ij}_{n\alpha} \to U^{Tik} \psi^{kl}_{n\alpha} U^{lj}$$

We see that spinorial fields transform in the skew symmetric representation, which is the correct representation for spinors in $SU(6)$ Grand Unified Theory. It decomposes in the sum of skew symmetric and fundamental representations of $SU(5)$ Grand Unified Theory, better known as Georgi-Glashow model.

In terms of $SU(2) \otimes U(1) \otimes SU(3)$ generators we have

$$A = u(1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \quad 0 & 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 & & 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 & \smash{\raisebox{1.5ex}{$su(2)$}} & 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 & 0 \quad 0 & \\ 0 & 0 \quad 0 & su(3) \\ 0 & 0 \quad 0 & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\psi_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e & -\nu & d^c_R & d^c_G & d^c_B \\ -e & 0 & e^c & -u_R & -u_G & -u_B \\ \nu & -e^c & 0 & -d_R & -d_G & -d_B \\ -d^c_R & u_R & d_R & 0 & u^c_B & -u^c_G \\ -d^c_G & u_G & d_G & -u^c_B & 0 & u^c_R \\ -d^c_B & u_B & d_B & u^c_G & -u^c_R & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have used the convention of Georgi - Glashow model, where the basic fields of ψ_n are all left. We have indicated with ^{c} the charge conjugation. The subscripts R, G, B indicates the color charge for the strong interacting particles (R=red, G=green, B=blue). the index n runs over the three fermionic families.

In Georgi - Glashow model the fermionic fields are divided in two families. The first one transforms in the representation $\bar{5}$ of $SU(5)$ (the fundamental representation). It is exactly the array (ψ_n^{1j}) in the matrix above, with $j = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. This array transforms in fact in the fundamental representation for transformations in $SU(5)$ which acts on indices values $2 \div 6$. The second family transforms in the representation 10 of $SU(5)$ (the skew symmetric representation). It is exactly the sub matrix (ψ_n^{ij}) with $i, j = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.

Note that particles in this model (except for the Grand Unification Gauge Fields) are exactly the observed particles, with fermions in three families. In the papers [1] and [2] we have developed an useful manner to obtain the symmetry breaking without using the Higgs boson, in such a way to avoid proton decay.

7 String duality

We infer the existence of a duality between String Theory in Υ and Arrangement Field Theory in Λ. We introduce a gauge connection $W_M(Z)$ in Υ with values in $sl(2, \mathbf{O})$. At this point we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{ij} &\sim \int \prod_{\tau=\tau(Z_i)}^{\tau(Z_j)} \prod_Q dZ^Q(\tau)\, exp\left(\int_{\tau(Z_i)}^{\tau(Z_j)} W_M(Z)\partial_\tau Z^M(\tau) d\tau\right) \\
&\sim \prod_{Z=Z_i}^{Z_j} \prod_M (W_M(Z))^{-1} \qquad \in sl(2,\mathbf{O}) \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used a parameter $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ to parametrize the edge ij between vertices Z_i and Z_j. If we consider a closed path made by edges ij, jk, kl and ki, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{ij}\nabla^{jk}\nabla^{kl}\nabla^{li} &\sim \int \prod_{\tau(Z_i)}^{\tau(Z_j)} \prod_{\tau(Z_j)}^{\tau(Z_k)} \prod_{\tau(Z_k)}^{\tau(Z_l)} \prod_{\tau(Z_l)}^{\tau(Z_i)} \prod_Q dZ^Q(\tau)\, exp\left(\oint_{\Box ijkl} W_M(Z)\partial_\tau Z^M(\tau) d\tau\right) \\
&\sim \int D(Z) exp\left(\int_{\Box ijkl} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} F_{MN} Z^M_{,\alpha} Z^N_{,\beta} d^2\sigma\right) \\
F_{MN} &= (d \wedge W)_{MN} \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second line we have introduced coordinates $\sigma^{\alpha=1,2}$ over surfaces with vertices $ijkl$. Obviously, more accuracy needs to discern ∇ from $\overline{\nabla}$. However you see on the left a field theory over Λ and on the right a string path-integral over Υ in presence of a skew-symmetric background F_{MN}. For more ideas and links with Loop Gravity see [1]. Until now we can reach four conclusions:

- Our universe is a spin-foam where every surface $\boxtimes ijk\dots i$ is a string worldsheet. In some sense, the strings join each other to construct space-time;
- Gauge symmetries are not internal symmetries of strings but conversely they are symmetries which exchange worldsheets with each other;
- Second quantization over Λ corresponds to String Field Theory over Υ;
- The string coupling α' is proportional to Δ^2, which in turn is equal to $8G$ (see calculation of black hole entropy in [1]).

8 Conclusion

We have seen that exactly the discovered particles appear as sub-representations in the adjoint representations of $SU(6)$. Even the three fermionic families appear without tricks. Moreover, the proposed theory seems renormalizable if we choose as fundamental fields

$$\phi, \qquad A_\mu, \qquad \psi^{n\alpha}, \qquad \bar{\chi}^n_{\dot{\alpha}}, \qquad \psi^\alpha_\mu, \qquad \bar{\chi}_{\mu\dot{\alpha}}, \qquad W_{\mu\nu}.$$

Action (5) gives the correct kinetic and interaction terms, plus Ricci Scalar and Gauss-Bonnet term. Note that fundamental step to obtain renormalizability is to have all fields which transform under local translations as $\phi \rightarrow U\phi U^\dagger$, in place of the usual $\phi \rightarrow U\phi$ with $U \sim exp(\lambda^\mu \partial_\mu)$.

In this way we include transformations which send local fields into non-local fields. In turn, this implies non local ghost fields. On the other hand, this way to do permits to use $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ instead of metric $G_{\mu\nu}$, describing gravitational fields by means of spin connections only.

Now we have to verify the reliability of AFT. The first step will include calculation of quantum amplitudes, for example a gravitons scattering at one loop level. Surely AFT needs also an improvement in the formalism, plus an exact evaluation of ghosts.

References

[1] Marin, D.: The arrangement field of the space-time points. ArXiv: 1201.3765 (2012).

[2] Marin, D.: AFT Gravitational Model - Unity of All Elementary Particles in Sp(12,C). ArXiv: 1002.1941 (2012).

[3] Marin, D.: Quantizable non-local gravity. ArXiv: 1003.2056 (2012).

[4] Wangberg, A.: The Structure of E6. ArXiv: 0711.3447 (2012).

[5] Baez, J.: $\mathbf{OP}^1$ and Lorentzian Geometry. URL: http://math.ucr.edu/home/baez/octonions/node11.html♯lorentz

[6] Tian, Y.: Matrix Theory over the Complex Quaternion Algebra. ArXiv: 0004005 (2000)

[7] Barton, C., H., Sudbery A.: Magic squares and matrix models of Lie algebras. ArXiv: 0203010 (2002).

[8] De Leo, S., Ducati, G.: Quaternionic differential operators. Journal of Mathematical Physics, Volume 42, pp.2236-2265. ArXiv: math-ph/0005023 (2001).

[9] Zhang, F.: Quaternions and matrices of quaternions. Linear algebra and its applications, Volume 251 (1997), pp.21-57. Part of this paper was presented at the AMS-MAA joint meeting, San Antonio, January 1993, under the title "Everything about the matrices of quatemions".

[10] Farenick, D., R., Pidkowich, B., A., F.: The spectral theorem in quaternions. Linear algebra and its applications, Volume 371 (2003), pp.75102.

[11] Hartle, J. B., Hawking, S. W.: Wave function of the universe. Physical Review D (Particles and Fields), Volume 28, Issue 12, 15 December 1983, pp.2960-2975.

[12] Bochicchio, M.: Quasi BPS Wilson loops, localization of loop equation by homology and exact beta function in the large-N limit of SU(N) Yang-Mills theory. ArXiv: 0809.4662 (2008).

[13] Minkowski, H., Die Grundgleichungen fur die elektromagnetischen Vorgange in bewegten Korpern. Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Gottingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse, 53-111 (1908).

[14] Einstein, A., Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitatstheorie. Annalen der Physik, 49, 769-822 (1916).

[15] Planck, M., Entropy and Temperature of Radiant Heat. Annalen der Physik, 4, 719-37 (1900).

[16] von Neumann, J., Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1932).

[17] Einstein, A., Podolski, B., Rosen, N., Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete? Phys. Rev., 47, 777-780 (1935).

[18] Einstein, A., Rosen, N., The Particle Problem in the General Theory of Relativity. Phys. Rev., 48, 73-77 (1935).

[19] Bohm, D., Quantum Theory. Prentice Hall, New York (1951).

[20] Bell, J.S., On the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox. Physics, 1, 195-200 (1964).

[21] Aspect, A., Grangier, P., Roger, G., Experimental realization of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen-Bohm Gedankenexperiment: a new violation of Bell's inequalities. Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 2, 91-94 (1982).

[22] Penrose, R., Angular Momentum: an approach to combinatorial space-time. Originally appeared in Quantum Theory and Beyond, edited by Ted Bastin, Cambridge University Press, 151-180 (1971).

[23] LaFave, N.J., A Step Toward Pregeometry I.: Ponzano-Regge Spin Networks and the Origin of Spacetime Structure in Four Dimensions. ArXiv:gr-qc/9310036 (1993).

[24] Reisenberger, M., Rovelli, C., 'Sum over surfaces' form of loop quantum gravity. Phys. Rev. D, 56, 3490-3508 (1997).

[25] Engle, G., Pereira, R., Rovelli, C., Livine, E., LQG vertex with finite Immirzi parameter. Nucl. Phys. B, 799, 136-149 (2008).

[26] Banks, T., Fischler, W., Shenker, S.H., Susskind, L., M Theory As A Matrix Model: A Conjecture. Phys. Rev. D, 55, 5112-5128 (1997). Available at URL http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9610043 as last accessed on May 19, 2012.

[27] Garrett Lisi, A., An Exceptionally Simple Theory of Everything. arXiv:0711.0770 (2007). Available at URL http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.0770 as last accessed on March 29, 2012.

[28] Nastase, H., Introduction to Supergravity arXiv:1112.3502 (2011). Available at URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.3502 as last accessed on March 29, 2012.

[29] Maudlin, T., Quantum Non-locality and Relativity. 2nd ed., Blackwell Publishers, Malden (2002).

[30] Penrose, R., On the Nature of Quantum Geometry. Originally appeared in Wheeler. J.H., Magic Without Magic, edited by J. Klauder, Freeman, San Francisco, 333-354 (1972).